

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/900,267	07/06/2001	Anil Punjabi	2001P12193us	7592	
7590 04/22/2005			EXAMINER		
Siemens Corporation Attn: Elsa Keller, Legal Administrator			HASHEM, LISA		
Intellectual Property Department			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
186 Wood Avenue South			2645		
Iselin, NJ 088	330		DATE MAILED: 04/22/2005	DATE MAILED: 04/22/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u></u>				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/900,267	PUNJABI, ANIL			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Lisa Hashem	2645			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frocause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed ays will be considered timely. by the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12 November 2004</u> . a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dienneit	ion of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S on is required if the drawing(s) is o	see 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) <u>□</u> a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applica ity documents have been recei ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage			
2) D Notic	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

FINAL DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, and 9-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,678, 366 by Burger et al, hereinafter Burger.

Regarding claim 1, Burger discloses a telecommunications system (see Figure 1), comprising: a local area network (Figure 1, 154; column 4, lines 48-52); one or more telecommunications devices inherently coupled to said local area network; a switching unit (Figure 1, 100) coupled to said local area network, said switching unit adapted to associate a plurality of numbers with a user (column 1, lines 14-25; column 4, lines 33-37), record a time and associated number at which said user has been reached (column 4, line 65; column 5, lines 1-6; column 5, line 60 – column 6, line 16; column 6, line 46 – column 7, line 2; column 11, lines 16-18), and perform a closest neighbor time comparison of records of previous calls to determine where to connect a current call (column 3, lines 8-13; column 6, line 17 – column 7, line 56; column 8, lines 62-65; column 11, lines 13-21).

Regarding claim 2, a telecommunications system in accordance with claim 1 mentioned above, wherein Burger further discloses said switching unit further adapted to switch calls to said user at particular locations at programmed times (column 6, lines 46-64).

Art Unit: 2645

Regarding claim 9, Burger discloses a method, comprising: maintaining a call history of calls to one or more numbers of a particular user (column 5, lines 1-6; column 6, line 46 – column 7, line 2); receiving a new call (column 8, lines 27-39); performing a closest neighbor time comparison on said call history to determine at which of said numbers to switch said new call; connecting said new call (column 9, lines 40-49); and updating said call history (column 3, lines 8-13; column 6, line 17 – column 7, line 56; column 8, lines 62-65; column 11, lines 13-21).

Regarding claim 10, a method in accordance with claim 9 mentioned above, wherein Burger further discloses, further comprising first attempting to inherently switch a call to a user-programmed time associated call location (column 6, lines 46-64).

Regarding claim 11, a method in accordance with claim 10 mentioned above, wherein Burger further discloses comprising clearing said call history after a predetermined period has elapsed (column 9, lines 25-49).

Regarding claim 12, Burger discloses a method, comprising: providing a control processor or memory (Figure 1, 110) adapted to process incoming and outgoing calls, wherein users have one or more numbers associated with them (column 1, lines 14-25; column 4, lines 33-37); providing a record unit or database module (Figure 1, 118) adapted to store in a memory a call history associated with particular users (column 4, line 65; column 5, lines 1-6; column 5, line 60 – column 6, line 16; column 6, line 46 – column 7, line 2; column 11, lines 16-18); and providing a compare unit or telephone call manager module (Figure 1, 114) adapted to perform a closest neighbor time comparison of said call history to determine at which of said one or more

Art Unit: 2645

numbers to connect the call (column 3, lines 8-13; column 6, line 17 – column 7, line 56; column 8, lines 62-65; column 11, lines 13-21).

Regarding claim 13, a method in accordance with claim 12, wherein Burger further discloses said control unit programmable to switch calls to said users at particular numbers at particular times (column 6, lines 46-64).

Regarding claim 14, a method in accordance with claim 13, wherein Burger further discloses said compare unit adapted to perform said closest neighbor comparison if a call is not connected to a programmed number at the programmed time (column 6, line 65 – column 7, line 56).

Regarding claim 15, a method in accordance with claim 14, wherein Burger further discloses said call history is updated after every call (column 11, lines 13-21; column 11, line 59 – column 12, line 11).

Regarding claim 16, a method in accordance with claim 14, wherein Burger further discloses said call history is cleared after a predetermined period (column 9, lines 25-49; column 11, lines 64-66; column 12, lines 10-11).

Application/Control Number: 09/900,267 Page 5

Art Unit: 2645

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burger as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,622,016 by Sladek et al, hereinafter Sladek.

Regarding claim 3, a telecommunications system in accordance with claim 2 mentioned above, wherein Burger does not disclose said switching unit comprising an H.323 gatekeeper.

Sladek discloses a system for controlling the provisioning of special services including call forwarding, in which calls directed to the subscriber may be forwarded to another telephone line associated with the subscriber (column 1, lines 8-52; column 7, lines 15-35).

Sladek further discloses a gatekeeper to associate a plurality of numbers with a user and determine where to connect a current call (column 11, lines 23-47). Wherein said gatekeeper can be an H.323 gatekeeper (column 11, line 66 – column 12, line 20).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Burger to include a switching unit as taught by Sladek to comprise an H.323 gatekeeper. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been lead to make such a modification in order to provide a system that utilizes a protocol such as H.323.

5. Claims 4-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burger, in further view of Sladek.

Art Unit: 2645

Regarding claim 4, Burger discloses an enhanced service platform (Figure 1, 100), comprising: a control processor or memory (Figure 1, 110) adapted to process incoming and outgoing calls, wherein users have one or more numbers associated with them (column 1, lines 14-25; column 4, lines 33-37); a record unit or database module (Figure 1, 118) adapted to store in a memory a call history associated with particular users (column 4, line 65; column 5, lines 1-6; column 5, line 60 – column 6, line 16; column 6, line 46 – column 7, line 2; column 11, lines 16-18); and a compare unit or telephone call manager module (Figure 1, 114) adapted to perform a closest time neighbor comparison of said call history to determine at which of said one or more numbers to connect the call (column 3, lines 8-13; column 6, line 17 – column 7, line 56; column 8, lines 62-65; column 11, lines 13-21).

Burger does not disclose a telecommunications gatekeeper.

Sladek discloses a system for controlling the provisioning of special services including call forwarding, in which calls directed to the subscriber may be forwarded to another telephone line associated with the subscriber (column 1, lines 8-52; column 7, lines 15-35).

Sladek further discloses a gatekeeper to associate a plurality of numbers with a user and determine where to connect a current call (column 11, lines 23-47). Wherein said gatekeeper can be an H.323 gatekeeper (column 11, line 66 – column 12, line 20).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Burger to include a switching unit as taught by Sladek to comprise an H.323 gatekeeper. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been lead to make such a modification in order to provide a system that utilizes a protocol such as H.323.

Art Unit: 2645

Regarding claim 5, a telecommunications gatekeeper in accordance with claim 4 mentioned above, wherein Burger further discloses said control unit programmable to switch calls to said users at particular numbers at particular times (column 6, lines 46-64).

Regarding claim 6, a telecommunications gatekeeper in accordance with claim 5 mentioned above, wherein Burger further discloses said compare unit adapted to perform said closest neighbor comparison if a call is not connected to a programmed number at the programmed time (column 6, line 65 – column 7, line 56).

Regarding claim 7, a telecommunications gatekeeper in accordance with claim 4, mentioned above, wherein Burger further discloses said call history is updated after every call (column 11, lines 13-21, column 11, line 59 – column 12, line 11).

Regarding claim 8, a telecommunications gatekeeper in accordance with claim 4, mentioned above, wherein Burger further discloses said call history is inherently cleared after a predetermined period (column 9, lines 25-49, column 11, lines 64-66; column 12, lines 10-11).

Response to Arguments

6. In regards to Applicant's arguments filed in the Amendment filed on 11-12-2004, Applicant submits that the 'closest neighbor time comparison' is not taught by Burger. Examiner disagrees. Burger clearly discloses a closest neighbor time analysis to determine the location of the user at the time of day closest to that of the present call, wherein when there is a successful call connection, a 'first to be called' field is set corresponding to the subscriber's telephone number of the successful telephone connection. This receives high priority and is set to the BGL (best guess location) for incoming calls (column 3, lines 8-13; column 6, lines 19-37; column 8, liens 62-65; column 11, lines 13-21).

Art Unit: 2645

Burger in view of Sladek clearly disclose 'the closest neighbor time comparison' and a communications gatekeeper.

Page 8

In conclusion, the prior art teaches the 'closest neighbor time comparison'. Please see the rejections of claims 1-16 above.

- 7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-16 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 9. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2645

Conclusion

10. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306 (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or call:

(571) 272-2600 (for customer service assistance)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lisa Hashem whose telephone number is (571) 272-7542. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fan Tsang can be reached on (571) 272-7547. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

Art Unit: 2645

11. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

April 13, 2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600**

Page 10